

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/650,383 | 08/27/2003 | Paul W. McBurney | SS-734-17 | 7173 |
| 20178 | 7590 09/13/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| | SEARCH AND DEVELO | HAROON, ADEEL | | |
| INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT 150 RIVER OAKS PARKWAY, SUITE 225 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SAN JOSE, CA 95134 | | | 2618 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/13/2000 | 6 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/650,383 | MCBURNEY, PAUL W. | | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| Adeel Haroon | 2618 | | | |

| | Adeel Haroon | 2618 | |
|---|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet | with the correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>18 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | the same day as filing a ving replies: (1) an amen tice of Appeal (with appe | Notice of Appeal. To avoid abadment, affidavit, or other evider al fee) in compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or of TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | dvisory Action, or (2) the da ater than SIX MONTHS from (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) 06.07(f). | n the mailing date of the final rejecti WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F | ion. FILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the correspondi shortened statutory period fo than three months after the | ng amount of the fee. The appropr or reply originally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41 | 1.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the | ns of the date of ne appeal. Since |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or searcl | | ecause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or | | | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | • • | finally rejected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | of Non-Compliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be at | | separate, timely filed amendmo | ent canceling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | ∍xplanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections u | nder appeal and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the clai | ms after entry is below or attac | hed. |
| 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | it does NOT place the ap | plication in condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-144 | 9) Paper No(s) | |
| 13. | | EDWARD F. URBAN | |
| | | SUPERVISORY PATENT EX TECHNOLOGY CENTER | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The ammendments in claim 1 require further consideration and search.